

# An Algorithm for Constructing Hadamard Matrices

Franz Hering  
Department of Statistics,  
University of Dortmund,  
44221 Dortmund, Germany  
e-mail [hering@statistik.uni-dortmund.de](mailto:hering@statistik.uni-dortmund.de)

## Abstract

We define a new class of Hadamard matrices and present some examples obtained by an algorithm using a computer program.

## Introduction

A Hadamard matrix  $\mathbf{H}_n$  is an orthogonal  $(n \times n)$ -matrix in which all elements are either  $+1$  or  $-1$ . If  $n > 2$  then  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  is a necessary condition that a  $\mathbf{H}_n$  exists. In this paper we define a class of Hadamard matrices and give a list of examples obtained by a computer program.

Hadamard matrices play an important in various field of statistics and mathematics. E.g. in statistics: incomplete balanced block designs, orthogonal arrays, factorial designs, Taguchi methods and Hotellings weighing problem; e.g. in mathematics: geometry, combinatorics, approximation theory and coding theory. There exists a wide-spread literature on Hadamard matrices, especially on the existence problem. A survey may be found in various text books of combinatorial theory.

# 1 Hadamard Schemes

To  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\mathbb{N}$  includes 0) we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N}_m &= \{0, 1, \dots, m-1, m\}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_m &= \{-m, -(m-1), \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, m-1, m\}.\end{aligned}$$

To  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\rho(u)$  denote the additive residual class of  $u$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . (So, to  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists exactly one  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\rho(u) = u + z(2m+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ .) Let

$$M := \{1, i, -1, -i\}.$$

Here  $i$  is the imaginary unit.  $M$  is a multiplicative cyclic group of order 4 generated by  $i$ . For the elements of  $M$  we write '+' instead of 1, '-' instead of  $-1$  and ' $j$ ' instead of  $-i$ . We use the following notation: Small boldface letters denote vectors, vectors in  $M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$  carry an asterisk; matrices are denoted by boldface capital letters.

If  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  is an  $(n \times m)$ -matrix whose elements  $A_{i,j}$  are  $(p \times q)$ -matrices, then we call  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  a *block-matrix*. Block matrices are marked by carrying a hat accent.  $k$ -fold iterated block matrices are also marked with a hat accent, (but with one hat only). If  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  is an (iterated) block-matrix, then the matrix obtained from  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  by stripping off all the block boundaries is called the *deblocked* matrix of  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ . The deblocked matrix of  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  is denoted by deleting the hat accent. E.g. if  $\hat{\mathbf{A}} = [\hat{A}_{i,j}]_{i=1\dots n, j=1\dots m}$  is an  $(n \times m)$ -block-matrix whose elements  $\mathbf{A}_{i,j} = [A_{j;u,v}]_{u=1\dots p, v=1\dots q}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$  are  $(p \times q)$ -matrices, then

$$\mathbf{A} = [A_{(i-1)p+u, (j-1)q+v}]_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n, u=1, \dots, p, \\ j=1, \dots, m, v=1, \dots, q}}$$

is the deblocked matrix of  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ .

If  $z = z_1 + iz_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a complex number then  $\bar{z} = z_1 - iz_2$  is its conjugate.

The mapping  $\sigma : M \times M \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$\sigma(u, v) := \begin{cases} u \cdot v & \text{if } u = v, \\ -u \cdot v & \text{if } u = -v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq \pm v. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

To  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^* \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$  we define

$$\chi_k(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*) := \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(a_u, c_{\rho(u+k)}). \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\chi_0(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{N}_m} \sigma(a_u, a_u) = 2m + 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\chi_k(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*) = \chi_{\rho(k)}(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*), \quad (4)$$

$$\chi_k(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*) = \chi_{-k}(\mathbf{c}^*, \mathbf{a}^*). \quad (5)$$

So  $\chi_k(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is completely determined by the indices  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .  
To  $\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*$  we define the  $\chi$ -vector of  $\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*$ :

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*) := [\chi_k(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{c}^*)]_{k \in \mathbb{N}_m}.$$

**Definition 1.**

a)  $\mathbf{a}^* \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$  is *symmetric*, if  $a_u = a_{-u}$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{N}_m$ .

b) If  $\mathbf{a} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$  then

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{a}) := [a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m]$$

is the *symmetric extension* of  $\mathbf{a}$ .

c) If  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$  then

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \chi(\epsilon(\mathbf{a}), \epsilon(\mathbf{b})). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Definition 2.** Suppose  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$ .

a)  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  is a *Hadamard pair* (of size  $m$ ), if

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) + \chi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}) = [1, 0, \dots, 0]. \quad (6)$$

b) Suppose that  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}], [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$  are Hadamard pairs.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

is a *Hadamard scheme* (of size  $m$ ) , if

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) + \chi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

**HP**( $m$ ) symbolizes a Hadamard pair, **HS**( $m$ ) a Hadamard scheme (of size  $m$ ) and **HM**( $n$ ) an ( $n \times n$ )-Hadamard matrix.

**Definition 3.** If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^* \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}, d \in M$  then

$$I(k, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*; d) := \{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m : \sigma(x_u, y_{\rho(u+k)}) = d\} .$$

If  $k, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*$  are fixed then  $I(d) := I(k, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*; d)$ .

To  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}, d \in M$  define  $I(k, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; d) := I(k, \epsilon(\mathbf{x}), \epsilon(\mathbf{y}); d)$ .  $\blacksquare$

So for every fixed  $k, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*$

$$\mathbb{Z}_m = I(+) + I(i) + I(-) + I(j) \quad (8)$$

is a partition of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

**Lemma 1.** Using the notation of definition 2 we obtain

a)  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  is a **HP**( $m$ ) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; +) + \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; +) &= \#(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; -) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; -), \\ \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; i) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; i) &= \#(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; j) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; j) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

b)  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$  is a **HS**( $m$ ) if and only if  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  and  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$  are **HP**( $m$ )'s and

$$\begin{aligned} \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}; +) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}; +) &= \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}; -) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}; -), \\ \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}; i) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}; i) &= \#(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}; j) + \#(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}; j). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

**Proof.**

$$\chi_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) + \chi_k(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(a_u, a_{\rho(u+k)}) + \sigma(b_u, b_{\rho(u+k)}) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\sum_{d \in M} \left( \sum_{u \in I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; d)} \sigma(a_u, a_{\rho(u+k)}) + \sum_{u \in I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; d)} \sigma(b_u, b_{\rho(u+k)}) \right) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (+) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; +) + (-) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; -) \\ & + \binom{i}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; i) + \binom{j}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; j) \\ & + (+) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; +) + (-) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; -) \\ & + \binom{i}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; i) + \binom{j}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; j) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (+) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; +) + (-) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; -) \\ & + (+) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; +) + (-) \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; -) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & + \binom{i}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; i) + \binom{j}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}; j) \\ & + \binom{i}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; i) + \binom{j}{-} \cdot \#I(k, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}; j) = 0 \end{aligned} .$$

b) Part  $b$  follows by a completely analogous calculation. ■

The following lemma gives a representation of  $\chi(\mathbf{a})$ , using indices in  $\mathbb{N}_m$  only.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $\mathbf{a} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$  and  $k \in 1, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\chi_k(\mathbf{a}) = 1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{u=1}^{\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil - 1} \sigma(a_{-(u - \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil)}, a_{u + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \cdot \sum_{u=\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil}^{m-\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil} \sigma(a_{u-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil}, a_{u+\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}) \\
& + 2 \cdot \sum_{u=m-\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil+1}^m \sigma(a_{u-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil}, a_{-(u+\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil)+(2m+1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The mapping  $k \rightarrow \rho(2k)$  is bijective on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . We denote its inverse mapping by  $\cdot//2$ , i.e.  $k \rightarrow k//2$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Then

$$k//2 = \begin{cases} k/2 & : k \in \mathbb{N}_m, \text{ } k \text{ even}, \\ (k-1)/2 - m & : k \in \mathbb{N}_m, \text{ } k \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_k(\mathbf{a}) &= \chi_k(\epsilon(\mathbf{a})) \\
&= \sum_{u=-m}^m \sigma(a_u, a_{\rho(u+k)}) \\
&= \sum_{u=-m}^m \sigma(a_u, a_{\rho(u+k//2+k/2)}) \\
&= \sum_{u=-m}^m \sigma(a_{\rho(u-k//2)}, a_{\rho(u+k//2)}) \\
&= \sigma(a_{-k//2}, a_{+k//2}) + 2 \cdot \sum_{u=1}^m \sigma(a_{\rho(u-|k//2|)}, a_{\rho(u+|k//2|)}) \\
&= 1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{u=1}^m \sigma(a_{|\rho(u-|k//2|)|}, a_{|\rho(u+|k//2|)|}). \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

If  $k$  is even, then  $\rho(k//2) > 0$ , if  $k$  odd, then  $\rho(k//2) < 0$ . Using this and (11) we determine  $|\rho(u - |k//2|)|$  and  $|\rho(u + |k//2|)|$ .

$$|\rho(u - |k//2|)| = \begin{cases} -(u - k/2) & k \text{ even}, \text{ } u \leq k/2 - 1, \\ u - k/2 & k \text{ even}, \text{ } u \geq k/2, \\ -(u - (m - (k-1)/2)) & k \text{ odd}, \text{ } u \leq m - (k-1)/2, \\ u - (m - (k-1)/2) & k \text{ odd}, \text{ } u \geq m - (k+1)/2; \end{cases}$$

$$|\rho(u + |k//2|)| = \begin{cases} u + k/2 & k \text{ even}, \quad u \leq m - k/2, \\ -(u + k/2) + (2m + 1) & k \text{ even}, \quad u \geq m - k/2 + 1, \\ u + m - (k - 1)/2 & k \text{ odd}, \quad u \leq (k - 1)/2, \\ -u + m + (k + 1)/2 & k \text{ odd}, \quad u \geq (k + 1)/2. \end{cases}$$

Inserting these values (7) and using Gauss-brackets leads to the equation of the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

To  $\mathbf{a} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  define

$$\psi_k(\mathbf{a}) := \frac{1}{2}(\chi_k(a) - 1). \quad (13)$$

and  $\psi(\mathbf{a}) := [\psi_k(\mathbf{a})]_{k=1, \dots, m}$ . Then we obtain the following version of Lemma 1:

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $\mathbf{a} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$  and  $k \in 1, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\psi_k(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\substack{u+v=k \\ 1 \leq u < v}} \sigma(a_u, a_v) + \sum_{u=0}^{m-k} \sigma(a_u, a_{u+k}) + \sum_{\substack{u+v=2m+1-k \\ u < v \leq m}} \sigma(a_u, a_v). \quad \blacksquare$$

From (6) follows

**Lemma 4.**  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  is a  $\mathbf{HP}(m)$  if and only if

$$\psi(\mathbf{a}) + \psi(\mathbf{b}) := -\mathbf{1} \quad (14)$$

(where  $-\mathbf{1} = -[1, \dots, 1]$ ).  $\blacksquare$

To obtain a Hadamard scheme one needs two Hadamard pairs  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ,  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$  satisfying  $\chi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) + \chi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}$ . The following lemma is a crucial support for the construction of Hadamard schemes, for it delivers to a given Hadamard pair a second one so that they together form a Hadamard scheme.

**Lemma 5.** If  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  is a  $\mathbf{HP}(m)$  then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}, & \mathbf{b} \\ \overline{\mathbf{b}}, & -\overline{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a **HS**( $m$ ).

**Proof.** a) We show first, that  $[\bar{\mathbf{b}}, -\bar{\mathbf{a}}]$  is a Hadamard pair:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi_k(\bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) + \chi_k((- \bar{\mathbf{a}}), (- \bar{\mathbf{a}})) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} (\sigma(\bar{b}_u, \bar{b}_{\rho(u+k)}) + \sigma(-\bar{a}_u, -\bar{a}_{\rho(u+k)})) \\
&= \overline{\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} (\sigma(b_u, b_{\rho(u+k)} + a_u, a_{\rho(u+k)}))} \\
&= \overline{\chi_k(\sigma(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})) + \chi_k(\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}))} \\
&= \bar{0} = 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

b) Now we verify the condition b of definition 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi_k(\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) + \chi_k(\mathbf{b}, -\bar{\mathbf{a}}) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} (\sigma(a_u, \bar{b}_{\rho(u+k)}) + \sigma(b_u, -\bar{a}_{\rho(u+k)})) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} (\sigma(a_u, b_{\rho(u+k)}) - \sigma(b_u, a_{\rho(u+k)})) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(a_u, b_{\rho(u+k)}) - \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(b_{\rho(-u+k)}, a_{-u}) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(a_u, b_{\rho(u+k)}) - \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \sigma(b_{\rho(v+k)}, a_v) \\
&= 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

■

To obtain a **HS**( $m$ ) it is therefore sufficient to construct a **HP**( $m$ ).

It is sometimes desirable to confine the investigation of **HP**( $m$ ) to special normalized cases. To define them requires the following preparations: On  $M$  introduce the linear order relation  $+ > i > - > j$ . Then  $>$  introduces canonically the lexicographical order relation  $>$  on  $M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$ :  $\mathbf{a} = [a_0, \dots, a_m] > \mathbf{b} = [b_0, \dots, b_m]$  if there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}_m$  with  $a_r > b_r$  and  $a_s = b_s$ ,  $s = 0, \dots, r-1$ .

$x \in \mathbb{N}_m$  is *i-leading*, if  $x$  has the following property: If  $D := \{u \in \mathbb{N}_m : x_u \in \{i, j\}\} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\min$  is the now well defined minimal index with



$x_{min} \in \{i, j\}$ , then  $x_{min} = i$ .

**Definition 4.**  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$  is a *normalized pair* if:

a)  $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}$ ,

b)  $a_0 = b_0 = +$ ,

c)  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are  $i$ -leading. ■

The following lemma is trivial:

**Lemma 6.** To every pair  $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}]$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \leq \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  there exists a normalized pair  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  with  $\chi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) = \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{a}})$  ■

## 2 The Construction of Hadamard Matrices

Define

$$\mathbf{H}_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_i = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_- = -\mathbf{H}_+, \quad \mathbf{H}_j = -\mathbf{H}_i.$$

The matrices  $\mathbf{H}_u, u \in M$  are symmetric  $\mathbf{HM}(2)$ . To

$$\mathbf{K} = [k_{p,q}]_{\substack{p=0,\dots,a-1, \\ q=0,\dots,b-1}}, \quad k_{p,q} \in M$$

define

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{K}} := [\mathbf{H}_{k_{p,q}}]_{\substack{p=0,\dots,a-1, \\ q=0,\dots,b-1}}.$$

So the blocked matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$  is obtained by replacing each element  $k_{p,q} \in M$  of  $\mathbf{K}$  by the corresponding  $(2 \times 2)$ - matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{k_{p,q}}$ .

**Definition 5.** If  $\mathbf{a}^* \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ , then the  $((2m+1) \times (2m+1))$ -matrix

$$\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a}^*) := [q_{(j-i)}]_{i,j \in M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}}$$

is the *circulant matrix* of  $\mathbf{a}^*$ . If  $\mathbf{a} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$  then  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a}) := \mathbf{Z}(\epsilon(\mathbf{a}))$ . ■

**Lemma 7.** Suppose  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in M^{\mathbb{N}_m}$ . If

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a  $\mathbf{HS}(m)$ , then define the two-fold blocked  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a})} & \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{b})} \\ \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{c})} & \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{d})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the  $(4(2m+1) \times 4(2m+1))$  deblocked matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$  is a  $\mathbf{HM}(8m+4)$ .

**Proof.** In a matrix  $\mathbf{R} = [\mathbf{r}_{i,j}]_{i=0,\dots,s-1, j=0,\dots,t-1}$  the submatrix consisting of the rows  $i_1, \dots, i_w$ ,  $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_w \leq s-1$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{R}(i_1, \dots, i_w)$ . If  $0 \leq g \leq 4m$  is even, then for  $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$  we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x})}(g, g+1) = [\mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(-m-g)}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(0-g)}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(m-g)}}].$$

To  $0 \leq p < 8m+4$  define  $g(p) = 2 \cdot [p/2]$ . Then  $\mathbf{H}(p)$  is a row of the deblocked  $(2 \times (8m+4))$ -submatrix  $\mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1)$  of

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{H}}(g(p), g(p)+1) = & \\ & [\mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(-m-g(p))}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(0-g(p))}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{x_{\rho(m-g(p))}}, \\ & \mathbf{H}_{y_{\rho(-m-g(p))}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{y_{\rho(0-g(p))}}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{y_{\rho(m-g(p))}}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ , if  $0 \leq p < 4m+2$  and  $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$  if  $4m+2 \leq p < 8m+4$ .

Now suppose  $0 \leq p < q < 8m+4$ . We show that the rows number  $p$  and  $q$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  are orthogonal, thereby proving the theorem. If  $g(p) = g(q)$ , then  $p$  is even,  $q = p+1$  and so  $\mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1)$  consists of these two rows. From

$$\mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1) \cdot \mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1)' = (8m+4) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we trivially obtain their orthogonality.

Now if  $g(p) \neq g(q)$ , then the two  $(2 \times (4m+2))$ -submatrices  $\mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1)$  and  $\mathbf{H}(g(q), g(q)+1)$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  have no common row. Define

$$\mathbf{A}(p, q) = \mathbf{H}(g(p), g(p)+1) \cdot \mathbf{H}(g(q), g(q)+1)'$$

We show

$$\mathbf{A}(p, q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

thereby proving the lemma.

Define

$$[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}] = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] & : 0 \leq p < 4m+2, \\ [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}] & : 4 \leq p < 8m+4, \end{cases}$$

and likewise

$$[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}] = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] & : 0 \leq q < 4m+2, \\ [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}] & : 4 \leq q < 8m+4. \end{cases}$$

We use the partition (8) to obtain canonically a partition of  $\mathbf{A}(p, q)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(p, q) &= \sum_{d \in M} \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; d)} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{w}_v} \times \mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{x}_{\rho(v+k)}} \\ &+ \sum_{d \in M} \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; d)} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{y}_v} \times \mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{z}_{\rho(v+k)}} \\ &= \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; +)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; +)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; -)} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; -)} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; i)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; i)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; j)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{v \in I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; j)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
& = (\#(kI\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; +) + \#I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; +)) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
& + (\#(I\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; -) + \#I(k, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; -)) \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\
& + (\#(I\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; i) + \#(I\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; i)) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
& + (\#(I\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}; j) + \#(I\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; j)) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
& = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

where zeros in the last equation follow from lemma 1. ■

### 3 Examples

#### 3.1 An Algorithmic Construction of Hadamard Matrices

If a  $\mathbf{HP}(m) = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  exists, a  $\mathbf{HM}(8m + 4)$  can be obtained by the following steps:

**Step 1.** Build the  $\mathbf{HS}(m)$

$$\mathbf{HS}(m) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}, & \mathbf{b} \\ \overline{\mathbf{b}}, & -\overline{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Step 2.** Build the cyclic extension

$$\mathbf{HS}^*(m) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(\mathbf{a}), & \epsilon & (\mathbf{b}) \\ \epsilon(\overline{\mathbf{b}}), & \epsilon & (\overline{\mathbf{a}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

**Step 3.** Build the cyclic  $((2m + 1) \times (2m + 1))$ -matrices  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{b}), \mathbf{Z}(\overline{\mathbf{b}}), \mathbf{Z}(-\overline{\mathbf{a}})$ .

**Step 4.** Using the four cyclic  $(2m + 1) \times (2m + 1)$ -block matrices  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a})}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{b})}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\bar{\mathbf{b}})}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(-\bar{\mathbf{a}})}$ , having as elements (blocks)  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrices  $\mathbf{H}_u$ ,  $u \in M$  build the twofold blocked matrix

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a})} & \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{b})} \\ \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(\bar{\mathbf{b}})} & \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{Z}(-\bar{\mathbf{a}})} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Step 5.** Build the  $((8m + 4) \times (8m + 4))$ -matrix deblocked  $\{+1, -1\}$  matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{H}$  is a  $\mathbf{HM}(8m + 4)$ . ■

### 3.2 Two Examples

We apply these steps to the sizes  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$ .

$$m = 0 .$$

The only normalized pair is  $[[+], [+]]$ , obtained from  $\mathbf{a} = [\emptyset] = [+]$  and  $\mathbf{b} = [\emptyset] = [+]$  is a  $\mathbf{HP}(0)$ .

Step 1. Obviously,

$$\begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ + & - \end{bmatrix},$$

is a  $\mathbf{HS}(0)$ , (which after deblocking accidentally is itself a  $\mathbf{HM}(2)$ .)

Step 2. Here  $\epsilon(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}, \epsilon(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}$ . So  $\mathbf{HS}^*(0) = \mathbf{HS}(0)$ .

Step 3.  $\mathbf{Z}([\mathbf{a}]) = \mathbf{Z}([\mathbf{b}]) = \mathbf{Z}([\bar{\mathbf{b}}]) = \mathbf{Z}(+) = [+]$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}([-\bar{\mathbf{a}}]) = \mathbf{Z}([-]) = [-]$

Step 4.

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 5. Writing "+" instead of 1 and "-" instead of -1 we obtain the matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a **HM**( $8 \cdot 0 + 4$ ). ■

$$m = 1.$$

Define  $\mathbf{a} = [+ , -]$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = [+ , i]$ . Then according to section 2  $[+ , -]$ ,  $[+ , i]$  is a normalized **HS**(1).

Following again steps 1 to 6 gives us a **HM**(12).

(Indeed, from the symmetric extensions  $\epsilon(\mathbf{a}) = [- , + , -]$ ,  $\epsilon(\mathbf{b}) = [i , + , i]$  of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  we obtain  $\chi_1(\mathbf{a}) = \chi_1(\epsilon(\mathbf{a})) = (-1) + 1 + (-1) = -1$ ,  $\chi_1(\mathbf{b}) = \chi_1(\epsilon(\mathbf{b})) = 0 + 1 + 0 = 1$ . This implies  $\psi_1(a) + \psi_1(b) = \frac{1}{2}((\chi_k(a) - 1) + (\chi_k(b) - 1)) = -1$ . So, as it be checked also easily directly  $[[1, -], [1, i]]$  is a **HP**(1).)

Step 1. The correponding **HS**(1) is

$$\mathbf{HS}(1) = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} + & - \\ + & j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} + & i \\ - & + \end{bmatrix} \right].$$

Step 2.

$$\mathbf{HS}^*(1) = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ j & + & j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i & + & i \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix} \right].$$

Step 3.

$$\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ - & - & + \\ + & - & - \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} i & + & i \\ i & i & + \\ + & i & i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{Z}(\overline{\mathbf{b}}) = \begin{bmatrix} j & + & j \\ j & j & + \\ + & j & j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Z}(-\overline{\mathbf{a}}) = \begin{bmatrix} + & - & + \\ + & + & - \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 4.

[illegible]

Step 5.

$$\mathbf{H}_K = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & + & + & - & - & - & + & + & + & - & + \\ - & + & + & - & - & + & + & + & + & - & + & + \\ - & - & - & - & + & + & - & + & - & + & + & + \\ - & + & - & + & + & - & + & + & + & + & + & - \\ + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + & - & + & - & + \\ + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - & + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & + & + & - & + & + & - & - & + & + \\ - & - & + & - & - & - & + & - & - & + & + & - \\ + & - & + & - & + & + & + & + & + & + & - & - \\ - & - & - & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & - & + \\ + & + & + & - & + & - & - & - & + & + & + & + \\ + & - & - & - & - & - & - & + & + & - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$$

### 3.3 Tables of all normalized $\text{HP}(\mathbf{m})$ of sizes $\mathbf{m}$ for $0 \leq \mathbf{m} \leq 7$

In the following tables on the left entry the Hadamard-pairs are listed. The right entry contains the two corresponding  $\Psi$ -vectors. Every  $\mathbf{HP}(m)$  generates a  $\mathbf{HM}(8m + 4)$ .

**m=0**

$\begin{bmatrix} \phantom{i} \\ \phantom{i} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \phantom{i} \\ \phantom{i} \end{bmatrix}$
--	--

**m=1**

$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$
--	---

**m=2**

$\begin{bmatrix} i & j \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i \\ i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

**m=3**

$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & j \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & i & j \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & j \\ i & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & i & j \\ i & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & j \\ - & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & j \\ - & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & i \\ + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & i \\ i & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i \\ i & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$



$$\mathbf{m} = 4$$

$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & j & j \\ - & + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & j & j \\ i & + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & j & j \\ i & - & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & i & i & j \\ + & - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & i & j \\ + & i & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & i & j \\ - & i & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & i & j \\ i & j & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & - & j \\ i & - & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & - & j \\ - & i & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & + & j \\ - & - & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & i & + & j \\ - & + & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & + & j \\ i & + & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & i & i \\ - & - & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i & i \\ i & j & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & + & i \\ + & - & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & + & i \\ i & j & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + & i \\ i & j & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

**m=5**

$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i & j & j \\ i & i & - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & - & j & j \\ i & + & j & - & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & i & i & j \\ i & i & + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & + & i & j \\ i & j & j & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & - & + & j \\ - & - & i & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & j & - & i \\ i & + & + & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i & - & i \\ i & j & + & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & i & - & i \\ i & i & - & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & - & + & i \\ + & i & j & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

**m=6**

$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i & j & j & j \\ i & j & - & - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & + & i & j & j \\ i & - & i & + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & + & i & j & j \\ - & i & i & + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & - & j & i & j \\ + & i & i & - & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & i & - & i & j \\ - & i & + & j & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & i & j & - & j \\ i & - & - & + & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & i & - & i & - & j \\ - & + & i & - & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + & i & - & j \\ i & i & j & + & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & - & + & - & j \\ - & i & i & i & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & - & - & + & j \\ - & i & j & j & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & i & - & j & i \\ i & j & + & j & + & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & - & + & j & i \\ + & - & i & i & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & j & j & - & i \\ i & + & + & - & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & - & i & - & i \\ i & j & i & + & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + & i & - & i \\ i & j & j & - & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & - & i & + & i \\ i & j & i & - & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & - & i & + & i \\ i & j & i & - & j & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

**m=7**

[illegible]

**m=7** (continued)

$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & j & + & + & - & j \\ - & i & - & j & j & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & i & - & + & + & - & j \\ - & + & i & i & i & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & i & i & i & + & j \\ + & - & + & + & - & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & i & i & - & + & j \\ + & - & + & + & i & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & - & - & + & + & j \\ i & + & j & j & i & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & i & - & - & + & + & j \\ i & + & i & j & j & i & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & j & j & i & j & i \\ + & - & - & + & - & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & - & i & + & j & i \\ i & i & i & - & j & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & - & - & + & j & i \\ - & i & i & i & j & + & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & j & i & j & j & i & i \\ + & - & - & + & - & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & + & i & j & i & i \\ i & i & j & + & + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & + & + & j & i & i \\ + & i & j & i & + & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & i & j & - & i & i \\ i & j & - & + & j & - & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & i & j & j & i & - & i \\ i & + & - & + & + & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & - & j & + & - & i \\ + & i & j & + & i & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} + & + & i & - & + & - & i \\ i & j & - & i & i & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & - & j & + & + & - & i \\ + & i & + & i & j & i & - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & i & i & j & + & i \\ i & i & - & - & + & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} i & + & i & - & j & + & i \\ - & i & - & i & + & j & + \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

### 3.4 One normalized HP(8)

m=8

[ - - + - i - j j ]	[ -1 0 1 -2 0 0 1 0 ]
[ i j i i + j - - ]	[ 0 -1 -2 1 -1 -1 -2 -1 ]
[ i i - j - j i j ]	[ -1 1 -1 -1 -1 -2 -2 1 ]
[ - - i - i + + - ]	[ 0 -2 0 0 0 1 1 -2 ]

## References

- Hadamard, J. (1893). Resolution d'une question relative aux determinants. *Bull.des Sciences Math.* (2),**17**,240-246.
- Hering, F. {Wägeprobleme} Diplomarbeit Univ. Tübingen (1963) (unpublished).
- Heydayat, A.S., N.J.A. Sloane & J. Stufken *Orthogonal Arrays* (1999) Springer Verlag, New York.
- Sylvester, J.J.(1867). Thoughts on inverse orthogonal arrays, simultaneous sign succesions, and tesselated pavements in two or more colours, with applications to Newton's rule, ornamental tile work, and the theory of numbers, *Phil. Mag.* (4),**34**,461-475